

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/974,556	10/09/2001	Andrew G. Austin	4589P011	7152		
8791	7590 03/11/2003					
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER			
	IIRE BOULEVARD, SEV ES, CA 90025	ENTH FLOOR	GALL, LI	GALL, LLOYD A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3676			
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Common to	09/974,556		AUSTIN, ANDREW G.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	$\wedge$				
	Lloyd A. Gall	3676	idross				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 L</u>	December 2002 .						
_	is action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for forma	al matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ . Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N blice of Informal Patent Application (P ner:					

Application/Control Number: 09/974,556

Art Unit: 3676

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-16 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 7, line 5, it appears that –of—should follow "view".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 16 is objected to, since it is identical to claim 10. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seto et al (229).

Seto et al teaches an apparatus 1 including a housing 2 with an access door 27 in fig. 5, a slot 31 to receive a lock head 35, 36 of a lock in a first insertion and second, locked orientation, a latch assembly 40 having a retaining element 42 to maintain the door 27 in its closed position, a handle 45 received in a guide element slot 46, a removable component 22, and the periphery of slot 48 in the latch defines a stop element.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,556

Art Unit: 3676

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto et al in view of either Satou et al (227) or Evanicky et al.

Satou et al teaches a lamp 24 used with latchable computer components, as does Evanicky et al teach a lamp used with latchable projector/computer structure. To modify the apparatus of Seto et al such that it is used with a removable lamp, would have been obvious in view of the teaching of Sato et al or Evanicky et al, since the access door apparatus of Seto et al would function just as well with any well known removable computer structure.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto et al in view of Hotsumi.

Hotsumi teaches a spring 70 to bias a latch 68 into its door engaging position. To utilize a spring with the latch of Seto et al, would have been obvious in view of the teaching of Hotsumi, the motivation being that the latch would automatically extend into its door engaging position as is conventional with latch bolts, to simplify locking of the door.

Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto et al in view of Dean.

Dean teaches a receptacle 94 on an access door to receive a latch. To modify the door 27 of Seto et al to include a receptacle for receiving the latch, would have been obvious

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/974,556

Art Unit: 3676

in view of the teaching of Dean, the motivation being to provide a strong interengagement between the latch and door.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

LG LG March 6, 2003

Lloyd a. Mall
Lloyd A. Gall
Primary Examiner